Name of Person Submitting Request:	Jim Stewart
Program or Service Area:	Art/Ceramics
Division:	Arts & Humanities
Date of Last Program Efficacy:	Spring 2011
What rating was given?	Continuation
Equipment Requested	2 Ceramic Services Glaze
	Formulating Table CSGT-5
Amount Requested:	2,108.00
Strategic Initiatives Addressed:	Student Success: We are committed to
	helping students succeed in their
	educational and career goals.
	Access: We are committed to providing
	opportunities for acquiring educational
	and support services.

EQUIPMENT NEEDS ASSESSMENT APPLICATION

Replacement \Box

Growth \mathbf{x}

1. Provide a rationale for your request.

Replace 25+ year old worktable and add work and storage space. The old table is a safety hazard and dos not meet the storage needs of the ceramics studio. When the new studio was built a new worktable and storage space were in the original plans. Because of cost-cutting measures the worktable and storage space were not included in the final building.

2. Indicate how the content of the latest Program Efficacy Report and current EMP data support this request How is the request tied to program planning? (*Reference the page number(s)* where the information can be found on Program Efficacy.)

The most current EIS data indicates the FTES in the art department increased from 329.77 in 2011-2012 to 331.19 in 2012-2013. Retention rates increased from 88% in 2011-2012 to 90% in 2012-2013. More students are enrolling and remaining in art classes. Limited space, equipment, and safety must be considered in the studio and lab classes. This request is tied to program productivity (p. 10) of the 2011 Program Efficacy Report.

3. Indicate if there is additional information you wish the committee to consider (*for example: regulatory information, compliance, updated efficiency, student success data, or planning, etc.*).

Directly ties to occupational health and safety, storage regulations as well.

4. Evaluation of initial cost, as well as related costs (including any ongoing maintenance or updates) and identification of any alternative or ongoing funding sources. (for example Department Budget or Perkins)

Cost is substantial and the department budget is small. There are no ongoing maintenance or installation issues.

5. What are the consequences of not funding this equipment?On-going storage problems of materials/substances that are hazardous or deleterious.